1 2	
3	
4	
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
7	* * * *
8	KEVIN FERNANDEZ,
9	Plaintiff, 3:06-cv-00628-LRH-RAM
10	v. ) ) ORDER
11	STATE OF NEVADA, et al.,
12	Defendants.
13	
14	Before this Court is Plaintiff's Motion for Review and Objections to Magistrate's Decision
15	(#41), Defendants filed their response (#44) and Plaintiff replied (#50). Plaintiff's motion challenges
16	the Magistrate Judge's denial of Plaintiff's motion for injunction (#11) and Plaintiff's motion to stay
17	pending appeal (#13). The court will treat the Magistrate's Minute Order (#35) as a Report and
18	Recommendation relative to the typewriter and pseudonym issues, Plaintiff's motions #11 and #13.
19	The Court has conducted its <i>de novo</i> review in this case, has fully considered the objections of
20	the Plaintiff, the pleadings and memoranda of the parties and other relevant matters of record pursuant
21	to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b) (1) and Local Rule IB 3-2. The court determines that the Magistrate's rulings
22	contained within its Minute Order (#35) entered on January 8, 2008, should be adopted and accepted.
23	
24	
25	
26	

Case 3:06-cv-00628-LRH-WGC Document 276 Filed 03/13/09 Page 1 of 2

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Magistrate Judge's rulings contained within its Minute Order (#35) entered on January 8, 2008, are sustained and Plaintiff's motion and objections (#41) is denied. IT IS SO ORDERED. Eldihi DATED this 13th day of March, 2009. **LARRY R. HICKS** UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE